

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RAY A. PARKER, and CAULETTE PARKER,
his wife,

07cv0435

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Plaintiff,

v.

VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA, INC.,
KIMBERLY K. ONESKO, and GEORGE
ONESKO

Defendants.

Order of Court

And now, this 30th day of November, 2007, for the reasons set forth in the foregoing
Memorandum Opinion on Summary Judgment, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) Defendants' motion for summary judgment (doc. no. 26) is GRANTED as to plaintiff's claims of discrimination and retaliation under the ADA and the FMLA.
- (2) The Court will not rule upon defendants' motion for summary judgment as it relates to plaintiffs' state law claim of trespass against the Oneskos.
- (3) This case will be dismissed with prejudice as to plaintiff's claims of discrimination and retaliation but without prejudice as to plaintiffs' claim for trespass.
- (4) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of defendant Verizon and against plaintiff.
- (5) The Clerk shall mark the docket closed.

s/Arthur J. Schwab

Arthur J. Schwab

United States District Judge

cc: All Registered ECF Counsel and Parties

